

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/705,444		11/10/2003	Ray C. Smith	004222 UID2 USA 4594	
20558	7590	02/04/2005		EXAMINER	
KONNEKE			TSAY, FRANK		
660 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY SUITE 230				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX 75074				3672	
				DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s) /					
(V)	Office Action Commence	10/705,444	SMITH, RAY C.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
U		Frank S Tsay	3672					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No	ovember 2003.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>57,58 and 68-86</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57,58 and 68-86</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)							

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/03, 12/29/03.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 57, 58, and 68-86 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, 23, 24, 26, 40, 45 and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,808,022. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a perusal of the instant claims clearly indicates that the subject matter thereof is fully disclosed by the claims of said patent (see e.g., claims 1 and 46) and/or that portion of the patent disclosure which provides support for such claims [see <u>In re Vogel</u>, 422 F.2d 438; 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970)]. Therefore, it is axiomatic that the instant claims are nothing more than obvious variations of the inventions disclosed and claimed in said patent and cannot properly issue in the absence of a terminal disclaimer. Furthermore, it is also clear that the inventors could have included the instant claims in said patent and that if the instant application were to issue without a terminal disclaimer protection of the

previously patent inventions would be improperly extended until the expiration of the instant claims since the utilization of such inventions would infringe the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank S Tsay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 7:30am-5:00 pm, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on (703)308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/27/05